#### Metal-poor stars towards the bulge: a mixed bag of chemical enrichments



**Andreas Koch** 





A. McWilliam, G.W. Preston, I.B. Thompson (Carnegie Observatories)

### **Galactic Components**



M104 (HST) – unbarred spiral with ca. 30% of MW extent

Disk(s) Halo: stars, globular clusters, satellite galaxies, dark matter Central bulge (bars)

# **Halo formation**

 $\Lambda$ CDM: hierarchical halo formation via accretion of dark matter dominated fragments.

Metal-poor halo stars were probably donated from satellite accretion.

Some stars in the dwarf satellites show chemical imprints from *individual* SNe ( $\rightarrow$  Pop III).

 $\rightarrow$  clues to the earliest enrichment phases.

#### What about the bulge?





# Bulges

- 25% of the light in the local universe comes from bulges.
- Inhomogeneous class of objects with different formation channels:
  - 1) Spheroidal ("classical") bulges form rapidly via early mergers. Bulge forms before disk.
  - 2) Pseudo-bulges / bars evolve from a buckling instability over longer timescales (>1 Gyr).



### (Galactic) bulge formation

- The bulge is old and metal rich, yet very complex (e.g., McWilliam & Rich 1994; Clarkson et al. 2008; Bensby et al. 2013).
- Dynamical formation, where bulge == bar (e.g., Shen et al. 2010; Wegg et al. 2015) ? Prominent X-shape (McWilliam & Zoccali 2010)
- No evidence for kinematic substructures (streams), although hyper-velocity stars exist.

(e.g., Howard et al. 2008; Kunder, AK, et al. 2012; Kunder et al. 2014, 2015;

C.J. Hansen, AK, et al. subm.).



#### **Bulge vs. halo formation**

- Oldest stars with [Fe/H] < -3 (z > 6 10) are predicted on tight orbits in the *innermost* halo, due to inside-out nature of CDM: "In the bulge, not of the bulge" (Tumlinson 2010).
- E.g., ARGOS bulge survey: non-rotating, metal-poor tail; attributed to the inner halo (R<sub>GC</sub> < 3.5 kpc; Ness et al. 2013)</li>



To date: 55 stars between -2 and -4 dex in surveys of several 10000s stars

(Ness et al. 2013; García Pérez et al. 2013; Howes et al. 2014, 2015; Casey & Schlaufman 2015, **AK** et al. 2016)

#### **Target selection**

- EMP candidates from narrow-band Ca K photometry (20 Å line, 200 Å continuum, at 3933 Å).
- T<sub>eff</sub>-sensitivity from BVI imaging.
- Calibrated against known EMP stars.





#### **Target selection**

- Problems: CR hits, diffraction spikes, TiO in cold M-stars.
- → low-res (R~2000) follow-up of ~150 stars (WFCCD grism)
  - → high-res (R~45000) follow-up of 8 stars (MIKE @Magellan)





### Normal halo-(like) stars ?!

• The majority of (23) species for the rest of the "bulge" stars is compatible with *halo* abundances and points to standard enrichment processes !



**AK** et al. 2016, A&A, in press (arXiv:1511.01490)

Mean abundances of all stars compared to Solar r/s pattern

(Simmerer 2004).

HD 122563, weak r-process star (Honda 2006)

#### Some special guests

- one CEMP-s ( [Fe/H] = -2.5, [C/Fe] = 1.4, [Ba/Fe] = 1.3)
- one Ba-star ( [Fe/H] = -1.5, [C/Fe] = 0.4, [Ba/Fe] = 1.3)

No evidence for binarity (no velocity variations, but no representative time coverage); abundances indicate origin of C-enhancement from AGB transfer. First contenders of this class towards the bulge.



#### **Bulge CEMP-s and CH**

- Ba-star: High Rb/Zr ratio (0.99), [hs/ls ] = 0.41, low La, Y
- Low-metallicity (Z=0.0001 0.0003) AGB models indicate ~4  $M_{\odot}$  progenitor for Ba-star, ~1.3  $M_{\odot}$  for CEMP-s.
- [Fe/H] of -2.5 coincident with peak of halo-CEMP MDF



# **No Population III**

- Regular (Solar) [Sc/Fe] values are in contrast to predicted depletions in Sc from Pop III nucleosynthesis.
- Cf. observations of ultrafaint dwarf spheroidals (AK et al. 2008; Simon et al. 2010)



#### **Bulge or halo? – Location**

- Location indicates three members on the far side of the X.
- Sample contains stars out to R<sub>GC</sub> ~ 6 kpc, |z| ~ 3 kpc.
  Combined with the regular chemistry this conforms with an overlapping inner halo, in line with Tumlinson (2010).



#### **Bulge or halo? – Kinematics**

- Often, metal-poor "bulge" stars found to be on tight or eccentric orbits (Howes et al. 2014, 2015; Casey & Schlaufman 2015).
- Usually based on various sets of proper motions (SPM4, UCAC4, OGLE), which can grossly disagree!



#### **Bulge or halo? – Kinematics**

- Often, metal-poor "bulge" stars found to be on tight or eccentric orbits (Howes et al. 2014, 2015; Casey & Schlaufman 2015).
- Usually based on various sets of proper motions (SPM4, UCAC4, OGLE), which can grossly disagree!



# Summary

- We detected "metal-poor" stars *towards* the "bulge", down to -2.7 dex.
- No evidence for Pop III enrichment (normal Sc/Fe), nor extraordinarily massive AGB.
- First CEMP and Ba-stars in that population.
- Kinematics are inconclusive due to uncertain proper motions.

 $\rightarrow$  Caution with a true, metal-poor bulge – how to distinguish from halo stars passing through ?! Yet consistent with the notion that anicent objects (z>10) are to be found in the central regions of the Milky Way.

• Improved target selection methods desirable, e.g., using (2MASS+WISE) IR and optical colors (Schlaufman & Casey 2014).

#### Summary 946 bulge RR Lyrae 250 (Kunder, AK, et al., ApJL, subm.) 200 z <sup>150</sup> 100 50 0<sup>L</sup>-4 -3 –2 [Fe/H] -1 0