

Sparsifying sums of positive semidefinite matrices

Cristiane Sato

Joint work with **Nick Harvey**¹ and **Marcel Silva**²

Federal University of the ABC Region, Brazil
Center of Mathematics, Computing and Cognition

¹University of British Columbia

²University of São Paulo

Cut Sparsifiers

Theorem (Karger '94)

- ▶ *weighted graph* $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There exists a subgraph $H = (V, F, y)$ *of* G *and* $y : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ *s.t.*

$$|F| = O(n \ln n / \varepsilon^2)$$

- ▶ *The weight of every cut is approximately preserved*

Cut Sparsifiers

Theorem (Karger '94)

- ▶ *weighted graph* $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There exists a subgraph $H = (V, F, y)$ *of* G *and* $y : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ *s.t.*

$$|F| = O(n \ln n / \varepsilon^2)$$

- ▶ *The weight of every cut is approximately preserved*
- ▶ *That is,*

$$w(\delta_G(S)) = (1 \pm \varepsilon)y(\delta_H(S)), \quad \forall S \subseteq V$$

Cut Sparsifiers

Theorem (Karger '94)

- ▶ *weighted graph* $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There exists a subgraph $H = (V, F, y)$ *of* G *and* $y : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ *s.t.*

$$|F| = O(n \ln n / \varepsilon^2)$$

- ▶ *The weight of every cut is approximately preserved*
- ▶ *That is,*

$$w(\delta_G(S)) = (1 \pm \varepsilon)y(\delta_H(S)), \quad \forall S \subseteq V$$

- ▶ **Application:** Faster algorithms by preprocessing the graph

Cut Sparsifiers

Theorem (Karger '94)

- ▶ *weighted graph* $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There exists a subgraph $H = (V, F, y)$ *of* G *and* $y : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ *s.t.*

$$|F| = O(n \ln n / \varepsilon^2)$$

- ▶ *The weight of every cut is approximately preserved*
- ▶ *That is,*

$$w(\delta_G(S)) = (1 \pm \varepsilon)y(\delta_H(S)), \quad \forall S \subseteq V$$

- ▶ **Application:** Faster algorithms by preprocessing the graph
- ▶ How sparse can H be?
- ▶ Can we build H efficiently?

Weighted Laplacians

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ **Laplacian** of G is the $V \times V$ matrix Lapl_G s.t.
 - $\text{Lapl}_G(i, i) = \text{degree of } i$
 - $\text{Lapl}_G(i, j) = -w_{i,j}$ if $ij \in E$

Weighted Laplacians

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ **Laplacian** of G is the $V \times V$ matrix Lapl_G s.t.
 $\text{Lapl}_G(i, i) = \text{degree of } i$
 $\text{Lapl}_G(i, j) = -w_{i,j}$ if $ij \in E$
- ▶ Lapl_G is **positive semidefinite**
All eigenvalues are ≥ 0
Notation: $\text{Lapl}_G \succeq 0$

Spectral sparsifiers

Theorem (Spielman, Teng '04)

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ has $n \text{polylog}(n)/\varepsilon^2$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $H := (V, E, y)$ satisfies

$$\text{Lapl}_G \preceq \text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$$

Spectral sparsifiers

Theorem (Spielman, Teng '04)

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ has $n \text{polylog}(n)/\varepsilon^2$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $H := (V, E, y)$ satisfies

$$\text{Lapl}_G \preceq \text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$$

Notation: $A \preceq B \iff B - A$ is positive semidefinite

- ▶ nearly-linear time solvers for symmetric, diagonally-dominant linear systems (Spielman and Teng + Koutis, Miller, and Peng, 2004)

Spectral sparsifiers

Theorem (Spielman, Teng '04)

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ has $n \text{polylog}(n)/\varepsilon^2$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $H := (V, E, y)$ satisfies

$$\text{Lapl}_G \preceq \text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$$

y may be found in $\tilde{O}(m)$ time

Notation: $A \preceq B \iff B - A$ is positive semidefinite

- ▶ nearly-linear time solvers for symmetric, diagonally-dominant linear systems (Spielman and Teng + Koutis, Miller, and Peng, 2004)

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S$$
$$0, \text{ otherwise}$$

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h = \sum_{ij \in E} x_{ij} (h_i - h_j)^2$

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h = \sum_{ij \in E} x_{ij} (h_i - h_j)^2$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$ is the w -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $w(\delta(S))$

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h = \sum_{ij \in E} x_{ij} (h_i - h_j)^2$
- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$ is the w -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $w(\delta(S))$
- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_H h$ is the y -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $y(\delta(S))$

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h = \sum_{ij \in E} x_{ij} (h_i - h_j)^2$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$ is the w -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $w(\delta(S))$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_H h$ is the y -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $y(\delta(S))$

- ▶ $\text{Lapl}_H \succeq \text{Lapl}_G$ implies

$$h^T (\text{Lapl}_H - \text{Lapl}_G) h \geq 0$$

That is,

$$h^T \text{Lapl}_H h \geq h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$$

Spectral sparsifiers are cut sparsifiers

- ▶ h the incidence vector of $S \subseteq V$,

$$h_v = 1 \text{ if } v \in S \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h = \sum_{ij \in E} x_{ij} (h_i - h_j)^2$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$ is the w -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $w(\delta(S))$

- ▶ $h^T \text{Lapl}_H h$ is the y -weight of the cut $\delta(S)$, i.e., $y(\delta(S))$

- ▶ $\text{Lapl}_H \succeq \text{Lapl}_G$ implies

$$h^T (\text{Lapl}_H - \text{Lapl}_G) h \geq 0$$

That is,

$$h^T \text{Lapl}_H h \geq h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$$

- ▶ $\text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$ implies $h^T \text{Lapl}_H h \leq (1 + \varepsilon) h^T \text{Lapl}_G h$

Laplacian matrix as a sum of matrices

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ The **Laplacian** of G is the $V \times V$ matrix

$$\text{Lapl}_G := \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} \begin{matrix} & i & j \\ i & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ j & \end{matrix}$$

Laplacian matrix as a sum of matrices

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ The Laplacian of G is the $V \times V$ matrix

$$\text{Lapl}_G := \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} \begin{matrix} i & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ j & \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & j \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Laplacian matrix as a sum of matrices

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ The Laplacian of G is the $V \times V$ matrix

$$\text{Lapl}_G := \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} \begin{matrix} i \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) \\ j \end{matrix} \left(\begin{array}{cc} i & j \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Lapl_G is a sum of rank-one positive semidefinite matrices

Sparsifiers of Sums of Rank-One PSD Matrices

Theorem (Batson, Spielman, Srivastava '09)

- ▶ B_1, \dots, B_m p.s.d. $n \times n$ matrices of rank one
- ▶ $B := \sum_i B_i$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $B \preceq \sum_i y_i B_i \preceq (1 + \varepsilon)B$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Sparsifiers of Sums of Rank-One PSD Matrices

Theorem (Batson, Spielman, Srivastava '09)

- ▶ B_1, \dots, B_m p.s.d. $n \times n$ matrices of *rank one*
- ▶ $B := \sum_i B_i$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There are new weights $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $B \preceq \sum_i y_i B_i \preceq (1 + \varepsilon)B$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

- ▶ (Lee-Sun'15) Almost-linear time method

Sparsifiers of Sums of Rank-One PSD Matrices

Theorem (Batson, Spielman, Srivastava '09)

- ▶ B_1, \dots, B_m p.s.d. $n \times n$ matrices of *rank one*
- ▶ $B := \sum_i B_i$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There are new weights $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $B \preceq \sum_i y_i B_i \preceq (1 + \varepsilon)B$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Sparsifiers of Sums of PSD Matrices

Theorem (de Carli Silva, Harvey, S., '11)

- ▶ B_1, \dots, B_m p.s.d. $n \times n$ matrices of *any rank*
- ▶ $B := \sum_i B_i$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ *small*

There are new weights $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ $B \preceq \sum_i y_i B_i \preceq (1 + \varepsilon)B$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Applications

- ▶ spectral sparsifiers of graphs with extra properties
- ▶ cut sparsifiers of uniform hypergraphs (specially 3-uniform)
- ▶ sparse solutions to semidefinite programs

Sparsifiers with Costs

Theorem

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ the reweighted graph $H := (V, E, y)$ satisfies

$$\text{Lapl}_G \preceq \text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Sparsifiers with Costs

Theorem

- ▶ $G = (V, E, w)$ a weighted graph, where $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small
- ▶ “costs” $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

There are new weights $y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ the reweighted graph $H := (V, E, y)$ satisfies

$$\text{Lapl}_G \preceq \text{Lapl}_H \preceq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{Lapl}_G$$

- ▶ $c^T w \leq c^T y \leq (1 + \varepsilon) c^T w$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Add extra info to Laplacian

$$\sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} & i & j \\ i & 1 & -1 \\ j & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Add extra info to Laplacian

$$\sum_{ij \in E} \begin{matrix} i & j \\ \begin{pmatrix} w_{ij} & -w_{ij} \\ -w_{ij} & w_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

Add extra info to Laplacian

$$\sum_{ij \in E} \begin{matrix} i & j & 0 \\ i & w_{ij} & -w_{ij} \\ j & -w_{ij} & w_{ij} \\ 0 & & c_{ij} \end{matrix}$$

Cut Sparsifiers of 3-Uniform Hypergraphs

Theorem

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathcal{E}, w)$ a weighted 3-uniform hypergraph, where $w : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- ▶ i.e., $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \binom{V}{3}$
- ▶ $\varepsilon > 0$ small

There are new weights $y : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.

- ▶ y has $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries
- ▶ the reweighted hypergraph $\mathcal{H} := (V, \mathcal{E}, y)$ satisfies

$$w(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(S)) \leq y(\delta_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)w(\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(S)) \quad \forall S \subseteq V$$

y may be found in $O(mn^3/\varepsilon^2)$ time

Hypergraph Laplacians

$$\sum_{ijk \in \mathcal{E}} w_{ijk} \begin{matrix} & i & j & k \\ \begin{matrix} i \\ j \\ k \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

Semidefinite Programs

Theorem

- ▶ B_1, \dots, B_m p.s.d. $n \times n$ matrices
 B sym $n \times n$ matrix
- ▶ $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$
- ▶ Semidefinite program (SDP)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T z \\ & \sum_i z_i B_i \succeq B \\ & z \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ feasible solution z^*
- ▶ $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$
There exists a feasible solution \tilde{z} with at most $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$ nonzero entries and $c^T \tilde{z} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)c^T z^*$.

Future directions

- ▶ Find more applications of the arbitrary-rank sparsification result
- ▶ Improve running times

Future directions

- ▶ Find more applications of the arbitrary-rank sparsification result
- ▶ Improve running times

▶ Positive Semidefiniteness Assumption

For each $n > 0$,

there exist B_1, \dots, B_m with $m = \Omega(n^2)$

and $B := \sum_i B_i$ p.d. such that

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ with $(1 - \varepsilon)B \preceq \sum_i y_i B_i$,

every entry of y is nonzero

Pseudoinverse

We may assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m B_i = I$$

by applying Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

$O(n \log n / \varepsilon^2)$ versions

- ▶ Ahlswede–Winter Theorem
- ▶ Can be de-randomized using pessimistic estimators

The approach

1. Start with $A = 0$
2. In each iteration choose a matrix B_i and compute a weight α .
Set $A = A + \alpha B_i$

The approach

1. Start with $A = 0$
2. In each iteration choose a matrix B_i and compute a weight α .
Set $A = A + \alpha B_i$

Batson, Spielman, Srivastava: $B_i = vv^T$ is a rank-one matrix.
Use Sherman-Morrison formula

$$\text{Tr}(M - \alpha vv^T)^{-1} = \text{Tr}(M^{-1}) + \frac{\alpha v^T M^{-2} v}{1 - v^T M^{-1} v}$$

The approach

1. Start with $A = 0$
2. In each iteration choose a matrix B_i and compute a weight α .
Set $A = A + \alpha B_i$

Batson, Spielman, Srivastava: $B_i = vv^T$ is a rank-one matrix.
Use Sherman-Morrison formula

$$\text{Tr}(M - \alpha vv^T)^{-1} = \text{Tr}(M^{-1}) + \frac{\alpha v^T M^{-2} v}{1 - v^T M^{-1} v}$$

Ours: $B_i = VV^T$ is an arbitrary-rank matrix.
Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

$$\text{Tr}(M - \alpha VV^T)^{-1} = \text{Tr}(M^{-1}) + \text{Tr}(\alpha M^{-1} V (I - \alpha V^T M^{-1} V)^{-1} V^T M^{-1})$$

Upper barrier

- ▶ u (upper bound for eigenvalues)
- ▶ Barrier function

$$\Phi^u(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u - \lambda_i(A)} = \text{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1}$$

Upper barrier

- ▶ u (upper bound for eigenvalues)
- ▶ Barrier function

$$\Phi^u(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u - \lambda_i(A)} = \text{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1}$$

- ▶ matrices A and $B \succeq 0$, we want to control the $\lambda_{\max}(A + \alpha B)$
- ▶ Given $\delta_u > 0$,
suppose we want $\lambda_{\max}(A + \alpha B) \leq u + \delta_u := u'$.
What conditions on α guarantee that?

Upper barrier

- ▶ u (upper bound for eigenvalues)
- ▶ Barrier function

$$\Phi^u(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u - \lambda_i(A)} = \text{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1}$$

- ▶ matrices A and $B \succeq 0$, we want to control the $\lambda_{\max}(A + \alpha B)$
- ▶ Given $\delta_u > 0$,
suppose we want $\lambda_{\max}(A + \alpha B) \leq u + \delta_u := u'$.
What conditions on α guarantee that?
- ▶ We have

$$1/\alpha \geq U_A(B) \quad \text{implies} \quad \Phi^{u'}(A + \alpha B) \leq \Phi^u(A).$$

and $\lambda_{\max}(A + \alpha B) < u'$
where $M := u'I - A$

$$U_A(B) := \frac{\langle M^{-2}, B \rangle}{\Phi^u(A) - \Phi^{u'}(A)} + \langle M^{-1}, B \rangle$$

Lower barrier

- ▶ ℓ (lower bound for eigenvalues)
- ▶ Barrier function

$$\Phi_\ell(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i(A) - \ell} = \text{Tr}(A - \ell I)^{-1}$$

- ▶ Given $\delta_\ell > 0$,
suppose we want $\lambda_{\min}(A + \alpha B) \geq \ell + \delta_\ell := \ell'$.
What conditions on α guarantee that?
- ▶ We have

$$1/\alpha \leq L_A(B) \quad \text{implies} \quad \Phi_{\ell'}(A + \alpha B) \leq \Phi_\ell(A).$$

and $\lambda_{\min}(A + \alpha B) > \ell'$
where $N := A - \ell' I$

$$L_A(B) := \frac{\langle N^{-2}, B \rangle}{\Phi_{\ell'}(A) - \Phi_\ell(A)} + \langle N^{-1}, B \rangle$$

Overview

- ▶ $A(0) := 0$ and $y(0) := 0$.

Parameters $u_0, \ell_0, \delta_L, \delta_U$ to be chosen

$$T := 4n/\varepsilon^2.$$

Define the barrier functions $\Phi^{u_0}(A)$ and $\Phi_{\ell_0}(A)$.

Overview

- ▶ $A(0) := 0$ and $y(0) := 0$.
Parameters $u_0, \ell_0, \delta_L, \delta_U$ to be chosen
 $T := 4n/\varepsilon^2$.
Define the barrier functions $\Phi^{u_0}(A)$ and $\Phi_{\ell_0}(A)$.
- ▶ For $t = 1, \dots, T$
 - ▶ $u_t := u_{t-1} + \delta_U$ and $\ell_t := \ell_{t-1} + \delta_L$.
 - ▶ Find a matrix B_j and a value $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^{u_t}(A(t-1) + \alpha B_j) \leq \Phi^{u_{t-1}}(A(t-1))$$

$$\Phi_{\ell_t}(A(t-1) + \alpha B_j) \leq \Phi_{\ell_{t-1}}(A(t-1)).$$

and so $A(t-1) + \alpha B_j \in [\ell_t, u_t]$

- ▶ $A(t) := A(t-1) + \alpha B_j$
 $y(t) := y(t-1) + \alpha e_j$.

End of the algorithm

$$\frac{\lambda_{\max}(A(T))}{\lambda_{\min}(A(T))} \leq \frac{u_0 + \delta_U T}{l_0 + \delta_L T} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}$$

with $T = 4n/\varepsilon^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L &:= 1 & \varepsilon_L &:= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & l_0 &:= -\frac{n}{\varepsilon_L} \\ \delta_U &:= \frac{2 + \varepsilon}{2 - \varepsilon} & \varepsilon_U &:= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\delta_U} & u_0 &:= \frac{n}{\varepsilon_U}. \end{aligned}$$

Overview

- ▶ $A(0) := 0$ and $y(0) := 0$.
Parameters $u_0, \ell_0, \delta_L, \delta_U$ to be chosen
 $T := 4n/\varepsilon^2$.
Define the barrier functions $\Phi^{u_0}(A)$ and $\Phi_{\ell_0}(A)$.
- ▶ For $t = 1, \dots, T$
 - ▶ $u_t := u_{t-1} + \delta_U$ and $\ell_t := \ell_{t-1} + \delta_L$.
 - ▶ Find a matrix B_j and a value $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^{u_t}(A(t-1) + \alpha B_j) \leq \Phi^{u_{t-1}}(A(t-1))$$

$$\Phi_{\ell_t}(A(t-1) + \alpha B_j) \leq \Phi_{\ell_{t-1}}(A(t-1)).$$

and so $A(t-1) + \alpha B_j \in [\ell_t, u_t]$

- ▶ $A(t) := A(t-1) + \alpha B_j$
 $y(t) := y(t-1) + \alpha e_j$.

Satisfying both barriers at the same time

- ▶ Averaging argument

$$\sum_i U_A(B_i) \leq 1/\delta_U + \varepsilon_U < 1/\delta_L + \varepsilon_L \leq \sum_i L_A(B_i)$$

Satisfying both barriers at the same time

- ▶ Averaging argument

$$\sum_i U_A(B_i) \leq 1/\delta_U + \varepsilon_U < 1/\delta_L + \varepsilon_L \leq \sum_i L_A(B_i)$$

- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $U_A(B_i) \leq L_A(B_i)$
- ▶ We can choose α such that

$$U_A(B_i) \leq 1/\alpha \leq L_A(B_i)$$

as needed for the algorithm

Satisfying both barriers at the same time

- ▶ Averaging argument

$$\sum_i U_A(B_i) \leq 1/\delta_U + \varepsilon_U < 1/\delta_L + \varepsilon_L \leq \sum_i L_A(B_i)$$

- ▶ $\exists i$ s.t. $U_A(B_i) \leq L_A(B_i)$
- ▶ We can choose α such that

$$U_A(B_i) \leq 1/\alpha \leq L_A(B_i)$$

as needed for the algorithm

- ▶ Compute $U_A(B_i)$ and $L_A(B_i)$