

Small maximal independent sets

Jeroen Schillewaert
(joint with Jacques Verstraëte)

Department of Mathematics
University of Auckland
New Zealand

Table of Contents

- 1 Statement of the main result
- 2 Applications in finite geometry
- 3 An easier algorithm for a class of GQs
- 4 General (n, d, r) systems

Ramsey's theorem (for 2 colors)

Theorem (Ramsey)

There exists a least positive integer $R(r, s)$ for which every blue-red edge coloring of the complete graph on $R(r, s)$ vertices contains a blue clique on r vertices or a red clique on s vertices.

- $R(3, 3)$: least integer N for which each blue-red edge coloring on K_N contains either a red or a blue triangle.
- $R(3, 3) \leq 6$: Theorem on friends and strangers.
- $R(3, 3) > 5$: Pentagon with red edges, then color "inside" edges blue.

The probabilistic method (Erdős)

- Color each edge of K_N independently with $\mathbb{P}(R) = \mathbb{P}(B) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- For $|S| = r$ vertices define $X(S) = 1$ if monochromatic, 0 otherwise.
- Number of monochromatic subgraphs is $X = \sum_{|S|=r} X(S)$.
- Linearity of expectation: $\mathbb{E}(X) = \binom{n}{r} 2^{1-\binom{r}{2}}$.
- If $\mathbb{E}(X) < 1$ then a non-monochromatic example exists, so $R(r, r) \geq 2^{r/2}$.
- Can one explicitly (pol. time algorithm in nr. of vertices) construct for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$ a 2-edge coloring of the complete graph on $N > (1 + \epsilon)^n$ vertices with no monochromatic clique of size n ?

Sum free sets

- A subset of Abelian group is called sum-free if no pair of elements sums to a third.
- In \mathbb{Z}_{3k+2} , the set $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k + 1\}$ is sum free.

Theorem (Erdős)

Every set B of positive integers has a sum-free subset of size more than $\frac{1}{3}|B|$.

Remark: The largest c for which every set B of positive integers has a sum-free subset of size at least $c|B|$ satisfies $\frac{1}{3} < c < \frac{12}{29}$.

Proof of the sum free set theorem

- Pick an integer $p = 3k + 2$ larger than any element in $|B|$.
- $I = \{k + 1, \dots, 2k + 1\}$ is a sum free set of size larger than $\frac{|B|}{3}$.
- Choose $x \neq 0$ uniformly at random in \mathbb{Z}_p .
- The map $\sigma_x : b \mapsto xb$ is an injection from B into \mathbb{Z}_p .
- Denote $A_x = \{b \in B : \sigma_x(b) \in I\}$.
- $\mathbb{E}(|A_x|) = \sum_{b \in B} \mathbb{P}(\sigma_x(b) \in I) > \frac{|B|}{3}$.
- Hence there exists an A^* of size larger than $\frac{|B|}{3}$ which is sum free since xA^* is.

Main Result

- δ -sparse: number of paths of length two joining any pair of vertices is at most $d^{1-\delta}$.
- *independent set I*: no two vertices in I form an edge of the graph.

Main Result

Let $\delta, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and let G be a v -vertex d -regular δ -sparse graph. If d is large enough relative to δ and ε , then G contains a maximal independent set of size at most

$$\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)v \log d}{d}.$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Statement of the main result
- 2 Applications in finite geometry**
- 3 An easier algorithm for a class of GQs
- 4 General (n, d, r) systems

The classical generalized quadrangles

- non-singular quadric of Witt index 2 in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ ($O^+(4, q)$), $\text{PG}(4, q)$ ($O(5, q)$) and $\text{PG}(5, q)$ ($O^-(6, q)$).
- non-singular Hermitian variety in $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ ($U(4, q^2)$) or $\text{PG}(4, q^2)$ ($U(5, q^2)$).
- Symplectic quadrangle $W(q)$, of order q ($\text{Sp}(4, q)$).
- Not all GQs are classical (e.g. Tits, Kantor, Payne).

Small maximal partial ovoids in GQs

\mathcal{Q}	Previous range for $\gamma(\mathcal{Q})$	Theorem	Ref.
$Q^-(5, q)$	$[2q, q^2/2]$	$[2q, 3q \log q]$	[DBKMS,EH,MS]
$Q(4, q), q$ odd	$[1.419q, q^2]$	$[1.419q, 2q \log q]$	[CDWFS,DBKMS]
$H(4, q^2)$	$[q^2, q^5]$	$[q^2, 5q^2 \log q]$	[MS]
$DH(4, q^2)$	$[q^3, q^5]$	$[q^3, 5q^3 \log q]$	/
$H(3, q^2), q$ odd	$[q^2, 2q^2 \log q]$	$[q^2, 3q^2 \log q]$	[AEL,M]

- $\gamma(\mathcal{Q})$: Minimal size of maximal partial ovoid.
- *ovoid*: set of points, no two of which are collinear.
- Main theorem: any GQ of order (s, t) has a maximal partial ovoid of size roughly $s \log(st)$.

Small maximal partial ovoids in polar spaces

Q	Known prior	Range from MT	Ref.
$Q(2n, q), q$ odd	$[q, q^n]$	$[q, (2n - 2)q \log q]$	[BKMS]
$Q(2n, q), q$ even	$= q + 1$		[BKMS]
$Q^+(2n + 1, q)$	$[2q, q^n], n \geq 3$	$[2q, (2n - 1)q \log q]$	[BKMS]
$Q^-(2n + 1, q)$	$[2q, \frac{1}{2}q^{n+1}], n \geq 3$	$[2q, (2n - 1)q \log q]$	[BKMS]
$W(2n + 1, q)$	$= q + 1$		[BKMS]
$H(2n, q^2)$	$[q^2, q^{2n+1}], n \geq 3$	$[q^2, (4n - 3)q^2 \log q]$	[JDBKL]
$H(2n + 1, q^2)$	$[q^2, q^{2n+1}], n \geq 2$	$[q^2, (4n - 1)q^2 \log q]$	[JDBKL]

Other examples

- Small maximal partial spreads in polar spaces.
- Maximal partial spreads in projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$.
- For the latter: vertices=lines, edges=intersecting lines.
- δ -sparse system with $v = q^{2n-2}$, $d = q^n$, so maximal partial spread of size $(n - 2)q^{n-2} \log q$.

Problem: How to prove lower bounds?

Theorem (Weil)

Let ξ be a character of \mathbb{F}_q of order s . Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q such that $f(x) \neq c(h(x))^s$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \xi(f(a)) \right| \leq (d-1)\sqrt{q}.$$

- Gács and Szőnyi: In a Miquelian $3 - (q^2 + 1, q + 1, 1)$ one design, q odd the minimal number of circles through a given point needed to block all circles is always at least or order $\frac{1}{2} \log q$ using Weil's theorem.
- This case involves estimates of quadratic character sums, becomes very/too complicated for other examples.
- Moreover many problems do not have an algebraic description.

Table of Contents

- 1 Statement of the main result
- 2 Applications in finite geometry
- 3 An easier algorithm for a class of GQs**
- 4 General (n, d, r) systems

A technical condition for GQs

A GQ of order (s, t) is called *locally sparse* if for any set of three points, the number of points collinear with all three points is at most $s + 1$.

- Any GQ of order (s, s^2) is locally sparse (Bose-Shrikhande, Cameron)
- In particular, $Q^-(5, q)$ is locally sparse.
- $H(4, q^2)$ is **not** locally sparse.

A weaker theorem for GQs

Theorem

For any $\alpha > 4$, there exists $s_0(\alpha)$ such that if $s \geq s_0(\alpha)$ and $t \geq s(\log s)^{2\alpha}$, then any locally sparse generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) has a maximal partial ovoid of size at most $s(\log s)^\alpha$.

First round

- Fix a point $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and for each line l through x independently flip a coin with heads probability $p_S = \frac{s \log t - \alpha s \log \log s}{t}$, where $\alpha > 4$.
- On each line l where the coin turned up heads, select uniformly a point of $l \setminus \{x\}$ and denote the set of selected points by S .
- $U = \mathcal{P} \setminus (S \cup \{x\})^{\boxtimes}$ (uncovered points not collinear with x).

Second round

Let $x^* \in x^\perp \setminus S^\infty$. On each line $l \in \mathcal{L}$ through x^* with $l \cap U \neq \emptyset$, uniformly and randomly select a point of $l \cap U$. Moreover select a point x^+ on the line M through x^* and x different from x , and call this set of selected points T . Then clearly $S \cup T \cup \{x^+\}$ is a partial ovoid. So we will need to show that $S \cup T \cup \{x^+\}$ is maximal, and small.

A form of the Chernoff bound

A sum of independent random variables is concentrated according to the so-called Chernoff Bound. We shall use the Chernoff Bound in the following form. We write $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ to denote a binomial random variable with probability p over n trials.

Proposition

Let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$. Then for $\delta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - pn| \geq \delta pn) \leq 2e^{-\delta^2 pn/2}.$$

Proof for GQs i

First we show $|S| \lesssim s \log t$ using the Chernoff Bound. There are $t + 1$ lines through x , and we independently selected each line with probability ps and then one point on each selected line. So $|S| \sim \text{Bin}(t + 1, ps)$ and $\mathbb{E}(|S|) = ps(t + 1) \sim s \log t$. By Chernoff, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|S| \geq (1 + \delta)s \log t) \leq 2 \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 s \log t) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore a.a.s. $|S| \lesssim s \log t$.

Three key properties

We can show that in selecting S , Properties I – III described below occur simultaneously a.a.s. as $s \rightarrow \infty$:

- I. For all lines $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ disjoint from x , $|\ell \cap U| < \lceil \log s \rceil$.
- II. For all $u \in x^\perp \setminus S$, $|u^\perp \cap U| \lesssim s(\log s)^\alpha$
- III. For $v, w \notin S \cup \{x\}$; $v \not\sim w$, $|\{v, w\}^\perp \cap U| \gtrsim (\log s)^\alpha$.

Proof for GQs ii

Assuming that a.a.s., S satisfies Properties I – III, we fix an instance of such a partial ovoid S with $|S| \lesssim s \log t$ and let T be as before. By Property II, $|T| \leq X_{x^*} \lesssim s(\log s)^\alpha$. Therefore

$$|S \cup T| \leq |S| + X_{x^*} + 1 \lesssim s \log t + s(\log s)^\alpha \lesssim s(\log s)^\alpha$$

Proof for GQs iii

For $v \in (x^\perp \setminus S^\infty) \cup U$ not collinear with x^* , a.a.s., $X_{vx^*} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\log s)^\alpha$ by Property III. By Property I, the probability that v is not collinear with any point in T is at most

$$\left(\frac{\log s - 1}{\log s}\right)^{X_{vx^*}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\log s)^\alpha} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log s)^3} < \frac{1}{s^5}$$

since $\alpha > 4$. Hence the expected number of points in $(x^\perp \setminus S^\infty) \cup U$ not collinear with any point in T is at most

$$s^{-5}|\mathcal{P}| \lesssim \frac{1}{s}.$$

It follows that a.a.s.,

$$(x^\perp \setminus (S^\infty \cup M)) \cup U \subset T^\infty$$

hence $S \cup T \cup \{x^+\}$ is a maximal partial ovoid.

Definition of Random variables I

For $u \in x_o^\perp$, let $U(u)$ denote the set of points in $\mathcal{P} \setminus x^\perp$ which are not covered by $S \setminus \{u\}$, and define the random variable:

$$X_u = |u^\perp \cap U(u)|.$$

In the case $u \in x^\perp \setminus S$, note that $U(u) = U$, so then $X_u = |u^\perp \cap U|$.

Definition of Random variables II

For $v, w \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{x\}$ non-collinear, let $U(v, w)$ denote the set of points in $\mathcal{P} \setminus x^\perp$ which are not covered by $S \setminus \{v, w\}$, and define the random variable:

$$X_{vw} = |\{v, w\}_\circ^\perp \cap U(v, w)|.$$

In the case $v, w \notin S \cup \{x\}$, $U(v, w) = U$ and so $X_{vw} = |\{v, w\}_\circ^\perp \cap U|$.

Expected values

Lemma

Let $u \in x_\circ^\perp$, and let $v, w \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{x\}$ be a pair of non-collinear points.
Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_u) \sim s(\log s)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(X_{vw}) \sim (\log s)^\alpha.$$

In addition, if $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $jtp^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mathbb{E}(X_u)^j \sim s^j (\log s)^{\alpha j}$.

Proof of property I-i

Fix a line $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ disjoint from x , and let Y_ℓ be the number of sequences of $a = \lceil \log s \rceil$ distinct points in $U \cap (\ell \setminus x^\perp)$. Let $R \subset \ell \setminus x^\perp$ be a set of a distinct points. Then

$$\left| \bigcup_{y \in R} \{x, y\}_\circ^\perp \right| = at + 1$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_\ell) = s(s-1)(s-2)\dots(s-a+1) \cdot (1-p)^{at+1}.$$

Since $atp^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $a^2/s \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_\ell) \sim \frac{s^a (\log s)^{a\alpha}}{t^a}.$$

Proof of property I-ii

Let $A_s = \bigcup_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{L} \\ x \notin \ell}} [Y_\ell \geq 1]$. Since $|\mathcal{L}| = (t+1)(st+1) \sim st^2$ is the total number of lines,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_s) \leq \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{L} \\ x \notin \ell}} \mathbb{P}(Y_\ell \geq 1) \lesssim st^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}(Y_\ell) \sim \frac{s^{a+1}(\log s)^{a\alpha}}{t^{a-2}}.$$

Since $t \geq s(\log s)^{2\alpha}$ and $a = \lceil \log s \rceil$, $\mathbb{P}(A_s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, as required for Property I.

Practical implementation

The randomized algorithm in this paper could be implemented, and we believe it is effective in finding maximal partial ovoids even in (s, t) -quadrangles where s is not too large. In addition, it can be deduced from the proof that the probability that the algorithm does not return a maximal partial ovoid of size at most $s(\log s)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 4$, is at most $s^{-\log s}$ if s is large enough.

Table of Contents

- 1 Statement of the main result
- 2 Applications in finite geometry
- 3 An easier algorithm for a class of GQs
- 4 General (n, d, r) systems

Set systems

- X is a set of *atoms*.
- Set system \mathcal{S} : family of subsets of X referred to as *blocks*.
- \mathcal{S} is an (n, d, r) -system if $|X| = n$, every atom is contained in d blocks, every block contains r atoms.
- A *maximal independent set* in a set system \mathcal{S} is a set I of atoms containing no block but such that the addition of any atom to I results in a set containing some block of \mathcal{S} .
- General problem: find the smallest possible size $\gamma_0(\mathcal{S})$ of a maximal independent set in \mathcal{S} .

Related work: Bennett-Bohman

Theorem

Let $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let \mathcal{H} be a r -uniform, D -regular hypergraph on N vertices such that $D > N^\epsilon$. If $\Delta_l(\mathcal{H}) < D^{\frac{r-l}{r-1}-\epsilon}$ for $l = 2, \dots, r-1$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) < D^{1-\epsilon}$ then the random greedy independent set algorithm produces an independent set I in \mathcal{H} with $|I| = \Omega(N(\frac{\log N}{D})^{\frac{1}{r-1}})$. with probability $1 - \exp\{-N^{\Omega(1)}\}$.

- Maximality is not proved.
- they use a randomized greedy algorithm.
- Our approach is iterative greedy using the Lovàsz local lemma

Sample of necessary conditions

For $\delta > 0$, an (n, d, r) -system \mathcal{S} is *locally δ -sparse* if for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and each pair of atoms x, y of \mathcal{S} , the maximum number of chains of length k with ends x and y is at most $\lceil d^{k - \frac{1}{r-1} - \delta} \rceil$.

- Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r be disjoint sets of n/r atoms.
- If \mathcal{S} is the set system on $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_r$ consisting of all r -element sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ with $x_i \in X_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and I is any independent set in \mathcal{S} , then $I \cap X_i = \emptyset$ for some i .
- However if I is maximal, then $X_j \subset I$ for all $j \neq i$, and therefore $|I| = (1 - 1/r)n$ for every maximal independent set I .
- Furthermore, \mathcal{S} is an (n, d, r) -system with $d = (n/r)^{r-1}$.
- Note that \mathcal{S} is not locally δ -sparse for any $\delta > 0$: in fact the number of chains of length two with ends $x, y \in X_1$ is roughly $d^{2 - \frac{1}{r-1}}$.

Segre's Problem I.

- What is the smallest possible size for a complete arc in a projective plane?
- \mathcal{S} : family of triples of collinear points in the plane; the atoms are the points of the projective plane.
- Kim-Vu: There are positive constants c and M such that the following holds. In every projective plane of order $q \geq M$, there is a complete arc of size at most $q^{1/2} \log^c q$ ($c = 300$).
- If the plane has order q , then \mathcal{S} is an (n, d, r) -system with $n = q^2 + q + 1$, $r = 3$ and $d = (q + 1) \binom{q}{2}$.

Segre's problem II.

- Aim asserts that $\gamma_o(\mathcal{S})$ is at most $\sqrt{3q \log q}$ if q is large enough.
- Best lower bound is roughly $2\sqrt{q}$, by Lunelli and Sce.
- Computational evidence by Fisher that the average size of a complete arc in $PG(2, q)$ is close to $\sqrt{3q \log q}$.
- Main open problem: finding lower bounds; in particular whether every complete arc has size at least $\sqrt{q}\omega(q)$ for some unbounded function $\omega(q)$.