Not all numbers were created equal. Mathematically minded folk are all aware of the ubiquity of Archimedes’ constant $\pi$, the importance of Euler’s constant $e$ and the beauty of the golden ratio $\phi$. However, let us spare a thought for a few of the lesser known mathematical constants — ones which might not permeate the various fields of mathematics but have nevertheless been immortalised in the mathematical literature in one way or another. In this seminar, we will consider a few of these numerical curios and their rise to fame.
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Binet’s formula lets you calculate the \( n \)th term of the Fibonacci sequence.

\[
F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right]
\]

Fact: For really really humongous \( n \),

\[
F_n \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.
\]

Fact: The Fibonacci sequence grows approximately exponentially and its growth factor is the golden ratio.

\[
\lim_{{n \to \infty}} \sqrt[n]{F_n} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}
\]
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**Vibonacci sequences**

A *Vibonacci sequence* is defined by the rules

\[ V_1 = 1; \quad V_2 = 1; \quad V_{n+1} = V_n \pm V_{n-1} \text{ for } n > 1, \]

where the sign is chosen by the flip of a coin for each \( n \).
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It seems like the magnitudes are growing larger and larger, on average.
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Random: (TTHHHHTHHH...)  
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  1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, ...  
- **All tails:** (TTTTTTTTTTT...)  
  1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, ...  
- **Random:** (TTHHHHTTHHH...)  
- It seems like the signs are switching willy-nilly.
**All heads:** (HHHHHHHHHHH...)
   1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, ...

**All tails:** (TTTTTTTTTTT...)
   1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0, ...

**Random:** (TTHHHHHTHHH...)
   1, 1, 0, -1, -1, -2, -3, -5, -2, -7, -9, -16, -7, 9, 16, 25,
   -116, -25, 91, 116, 25, -91, -116, -207, -323, -530, ...

It seems like the signs are switching willy-nilly.

It seems like the magnitudes are growing larger and larger, on average.
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- Viswanath’s Theorem tells us that there is some semblance of order appearing in the randomness.

“Though this be madness, yet there is method in ’t.”
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The primes are somewhat elusive beasts among the menagerie of natural numbers.

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, . . .

The prime gap sequence

1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 4, 2, 4, 6, 2, 6, 4, 2, 4, . . .

The largest known prime is the number $2^{30,402,457} - 1$.

Unsolved prime problems

- **Twin Prime Conjecture:** Are there infinitely many pairs of primes which differ by 2?
- **Goldbach Conjecture:** Can every even integer greater than 2 be written as the sum of 2 primes?
- **Riemann Hypothesis** Does the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ have non-trivial zeroes which do not lie on the line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$?
A crazy formula for primes

The set of positive values taken on by the following bizarre polynomial in 26 variables is precisely the set of primes, where the variables $a, b, c, \ldots, z$ vary over the non-negative integers.

$$(k + 2)(1 - (wz + h + j - q)^2 - ((gk + 2g + k + 1)(h + j) + h - z)^2 - (2n + p + q + z - e)^2 - (16(k + 1)^3(k + 2)(n + 1)^2 + 1 - f^2)^2 - (e^3(e + 2)(a + 1)^2 + 1 - o^2)^2 - ((a^2 - 1)y^2 + 1 - x^2)^2 - (16r^2y^4(a^2 - 1) + 1 - u^2)^2 - (((a + u^2(u^2 - a))^2 - 1)(n + 4dy)^2 + 1 - (x + cu)^2)^2 - (n + l + v - y)^2 - (((a^2 - 1)l^2 + 1 - m^2)^2 - (ai + k + 1 - l - i)^2 - (p + l(a - n - 1) + b(2an + 2a - n^2 - 2n - 2) - m)^2 - (q + y(a - p - 1) + s(2ap + 2a - p^2 - 2p - 2) - x)^2 - (z + pl(a - p) + t(2ap - p^2 - 1) - pm)^2)$$
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Euler’s attempt: \( x^2 + x + 41 \)

**Mills’ Theorem**

There exists a positive constant \( M \) such that the expression

\[
\left\lfloor M^{3^n} \right\rfloor
\]

yields only primes for all positive integers \( n \).
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So why can’t we use Mills’ Theorem to find the largest known prime?
Because Mills didn’t tell us what the number $M$ is!

If the Riemann Hypothesis is true (and most mathematicians believe that it is), then the smallest value of $M$ which works in Mills’ Theorem is

$$M = 1.306377883863080690468614492602 \ldots .$$

What is the number
$1.306377883863080690468614492602 \ldots$?

- Simple answer: It’s Mills’ constant!
- Honest answer: We don’t know!
  It is unknown whether Mills’ constant is rational or not — it seems incredibly doubtful though.
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2. For every positive integer $n$, let $P_{n+1}$ be the next prime after $P_n^3$. 
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<tr>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Let ( P_1 = 2 ).</td>
<td></td>
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<td><strong>2.</strong> For every positive integer ( n ), let ( P_{n+1} ) be the next prime after ( P_n^3 ).</td>
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A recipe for Mills’ constant

1. Let $P_1 = 2$.
2. For every positive integer $n$, let $P_{n+1}$ be the next prime after $P_n$.
3. For every positive integer $n$, let $Q_n = \sqrt[3]{3^n P_n}$.
4. The numbers $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \ldots$ are increasing and converge to Mills’ constant $M$. 
What comes next?

1
11
21
1211
111221
312211
13112221
1113213211
31131211131221

...
Each term of the sequence, except the first, describes the digits appearing in the previous term. For example, to generate the term after 312211, we scan along its digits and note that it is comprised of "one 3", "one 1", "two 2's", and "two 1's".
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Each term of the sequence, except the first, describes the digits appearing in the previous term. For example, to generate the term after 312211, we scan along its digits and note that it is comprised of

“one 3”, “one 1”, “two 2’s”, and “two 1’s”.

So the next term is 13112221.

For obvious reasons, it has been coined the Look and Say Sequence.
Let $C_n$ denote the number of digits in the $n$th term of the Look and Say Sequence.
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Let \( C_n \) denote the number of digits in the \( n \)th term of the Look and Say Sequence.

\[ 1, 2, 2, 4, 6, 6, 8, 10, 14, \ldots \]

It seems like the sequence grows larger and larger, on average.
Let $C_n$ denote the number of digits in the $n$th term of the Look and Say Sequence.

$1, 2, 2, 4, 6, 6, 8, 10, 14, \ldots$

It seems like the sequence grows larger and larger, on average.

Does the number

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{C_n}$$

exist? If so, what is it?
If $C_n$ denotes the number of digits in the $n$th term of the Look and Say Sequence, then

$$C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{C_n}$$

exists and is approximately $1.3035772690342963912570991121525518907307 \ldots$
What is the number
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What is the number
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Simple answer: It’s Conway’s constant!

Honest answer: It’s the unique positive real root of the following irreducible polynomial.

\[ x^{71} - x^{69} - 2x^{68} - x^{67} + 2x^{66} + 2x^{65} + x^{64} - x^{63} - x^{62} - x^{61} - x^{60} - x^{59} + 2x^{58} + 5x^{57} + 3x^{56} - 2x^{55} - 10x^{54} - 3x^{53} - 2x^{52} + 6x^{51} + 6x^{50} + x^{49} + 9x^{48} - 3x^{47} - 7x^{46} - 8x^{45} - 8x^{44} + 10x^{43} + 6x^{42} + 8x^{41} - 5x^{40} - 12x^{39} + 7x^{38} - 7x^{37} + 7x^{36} + x^{35} - 3x^{34} + 10x^{33} + x^{32} - 6x^{31} - 2x^{30} - 10x^{29} - 3x^{28} + 2x^{27} + 9x^{26} - 3x^{25} + 14x^{24} - 8x^{23} - 7x^{21} + 9x^{20} + 3x^{19} - 4x^{18} - 10x^{17} - 7x^{16} + 12x^{15} + 7x^{14} + 2x^{13} - 12x^{12} - 4x^{11} - 2x^{10} + 5x^{9} + x^{7} - 7x^{6} + 7x^{5} - 4x^{4} + 12x^{3} - 6x^{2} + 3x - 6. \]
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Simple answer: It’s Conway’s constant!

Honest answer: It’s the unique positive real root of the following irreducible polynomial.

\[ x^{71} - x^{69} - 2x^{68} - x^{67} + 2x^{66} + 2x^{65} + x^{64} - x^{63} - x^{62} - x^{61} - x^{60} - x^{59} + 2x^{58} + 5x^{57} + 3x^{56} - 2x^{55} - 10x^{54} - 3x^{53} - 2x^{52} + 6x^{51} + 6x^{50} + x^{49} + 9x^{48} - 3x^{47} - 7x^{46} - 8x^{45} - 8x^{44} + 10x^{43} + 6x^{42} + 8x^{41} - 5x^{40} - 12x^{39} + 7x^{38} - 7x^{37} + 7x^{36} + x^{35} - 3x^{34} + 10x^{33} + x^{32} - 6x^{31} - 2x^{30} - 10x^{29} - 3x^{28} + 2x^{27} + 9x^{26} - 3x^{25} + 14x^{24} - 8x^{23} - 7x^{21} + 9x^{20} + 3x^{19} - 4x^{18} - 10x^{17} - 7x^{16} + 12x^{15} + 7x^{14} + 2x^{13} - 12x^{12} - 4x^{11} - 2x^{10} + 5x^{9} + x^{7} - 7x^{6} + 7x^{5} - 4x^{4} + 12x^{3} - 6x^{2} + 3x - 6. \]

This must certainly be one of the most bizarre of the algebraic numbers to appear in the mathematical literature!
Main idea: Often, a string of digits can be broken down into substrings which evolve via the Look and Say rule without interfering with each other.
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In particular, from the eighth term onwards, every term of the Look and Say Sequence is comprised of a combination of 92 substrings which never interfere with each other.
Main idea: Often, a string of digits can be broken down into substrings which evolve via the Look and Say rule without interfering with each other.

In particular, from the eighth term onwards, every term of the Look and Say Sequence is comprised of a combination of 92 substrings which never interfere with each other.

Conway names these substrings the atomic elements, giving each an atomic number and its corresponding name from the periodic table. He then calls the process of applying the Look and Say rule “audioactive decay”.

Norman Do

Constant Curiosity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic Number</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>13112221133211322112211213322112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>312211322212221121123222122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>111312211312113221133211322112211213322112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>1321132122211322212221121123222112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Read my article at

http://www.austms.org.au/Publ/Gazette/
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