

Permutation Groups and the Solution of German Enigma Cipher

Jiří Tůma

`tuma@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

How do cipher systems work?

The sender starts with a **plain text**. Then with the help of a **key** he produces a **cipher text**. The cipher text is created from the plain text and the key by an algorithm. This process is called **encryption**.

How do cipher systems work?

The sender starts with a **plain text**. Then with the help of a **key** he produces a **cipher text**. The cipher text is created from the plain text and the key by an algorithm. This process is called **encryption**.

The authorized addressee knows the encryption algorithm and the key and deciphers the plain text from the cipher text and the key. The reverse process is called **decryption**.

How do cipher systems work?

The sender starts with a **plain text**. Then with the help of a **key** he produces a **cipher text**. The cipher text is created from the plain text and the key by an algorithm. This process is called **encryption**.

The authorized addressee knows the encryption algorithm and the key and deciphers the plain text from the cipher text and the key. The reverse process is called **decryption**.

An adversary may intercept the cipher text and attempt to recover the original plain text from it. This is called **solving the cipher**, informally **codebreaking**.

Maxims of cryptology

- **Never** use the same key to encrypt two different messages;

Maxims of cryptology

- **Never** use the same key to encrypt two different messages;
- **Never** encrypt the same message twice with two different keys;

Maxims of cryptology

- **Never** use the same key to encrypt two different messages;
- **Never** encrypt the same message twice with two different keys;
- **Always** assume that the adversary knows the encryption algorithm;

Maxims of cryptology

- **Never** use the same key to encrypt two different messages;
- **Never** encrypt the same message twice with two different keys;
- **Always** assume that the adversary knows the encryption algorithm;
- **Never** underrate the adversary.

Indicators

Security of a cipher system depends mainly on **key-exchange**, the way in which the sender and the authorized addressee exchange the message key.

Indicators

Security of a cipher system depends mainly on **key-exchange**, the way in which the sender and the authorized addressee exchange the message key.

They agree in advance on a position in the cipher text that will contain information from which the message key can be recovered. This information is called an **indicator**. The indicator is most often placed at the beginning of the cipher text. The indicator is usually not given in plain text but it is also enciphered in some way.

Indicators

Security of a cipher system depends mainly on **key-exchange**, the way in which the sender and the authorized addressee exchange the message key.

They agree in advance on a position in the cipher text that will contain information from which the message key can be recovered. This information is called an **indicator**. The indicator is most often placed at the beginning of the cipher text. The indicator is usually not given in plain text but it is also enciphered in some way.

Thus a cipher text usually has the form

indicator messagetext

Enigma, first contacts

From 1928 the German army *Wehrmacht* started to test a new cipher system. This caused panic in the ranks of the Polish Secret Service. After the end of the First World War France and Great Britain believed that Germany was no longer a threat to their security. However, Poland never shared this opinion.

Enigma, first contacts

From 1928 the German army *Wehrmacht* started to test a new cipher system. This caused panic in the ranks of the Polish Secret Service. After the end of the First World War France and Great Britain believed that Germany was no longer a threat to their security. However, Poland never shared this opinion.

Attempts to solve the new cipher had been completely unsuccessful for several years. Even parapsychology was involved but in vain. Finally, someone in the Polish Secret Service got the idea that mathematicians could be useful. A course in cryptoanalysis was organized for students of mathematics at the University of Poznan.

Young Polish mathematicians

Three of the best graduates of the course,



Marian Rejewski (1905-1980),

Henryk Zygalski (1906-1978) and

Jerzy Rózycki (1907-1942)

then accepted the offer to work on cryptanalysis of the new cipher.

First results

Various statistical tests were applied to the intercepted cipher texts. As a result it became clear that the **first six letters** of each cipher text **formed the indicator**.

First results

Various statistical tests were applied to the intercepted cipher texts. As a result it became clear that the **first six letters** of each cipher text **formed the indicator**.

The statistical tests also suggested that the cipher is very probably a **polyalphabetic cipher**. This means that every letter of the plain text is replaced by a single corresponding letter in the cipher text. The cipher letter depends on the position of the plain letter in the plain text.


First results

Various statistical tests were applied to the intercepted cipher texts. As a result it became clear that the **first six letters** of each cipher text **formed the indicator**.

The statistical tests also suggested that the cipher is very probably a **polyalphabetic cipher**. This means that every letter of the plain text is replaced by a single corresponding letter in the cipher text. The cipher letter depends on the position of the plain letter in the plain text.

A similar cipher was produced by a commercial machine **Enigma** that had been on sale since 1926. So the Polish Intelligence Service hypothesized that the new cipher was produced by a military version of the **Enigma** machine.

Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,

Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,

Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,
- plugboard (stecker board),


Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,
- plugboard (stecker board),
- scrambler,

Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,
- plugboard (stecker board),
- scrambler,
- entry wheel,

Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,
- plugboard (stecker board),
- scrambler,
- entry wheel,
- rotor,


Military Enigma



Important parts of the machine are

- keyboard,
- bulbs,
- plugboard (stecker board),
- scrambler,
- entry wheel,
- rotor,
- reflector (Umkerwalz).


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,
- catch,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,
- catch,
- core containing cross-wirings,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,
- catch,
- core containing cross-wirings,
- spring loaded contacts,


Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors

- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,
- catch,
- core containing cross-wirings,
- spring loaded contacts,
- discs,

Rotors



Here is the structure of the rotors


- finger notches,
- alphabet ring,
- shaft,
- catch,
- core containing cross-wirings,
- spring loaded contacts,
- discs,
- carry notch.

Wiring of Enigma


The wiring of the military version of the Enigma machine can be schematized in the following way.

Wiring of Enigma


The wiring of the military version of the Enigma machine can be schematized in the following way.



Flow of current



Flow of current



This is how the current flows through the Enigma machine after pressing a key.

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

It contained the information needed to set up the machine using the **daily key**. The daily key consisted of

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

It contained the information needed to set up the machine using the **daily key**. The daily key consisted of the order of the rotors: e.g. **II, III, I**;

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

It contained the information needed to set up the machine using the **daily key**. The daily key consisted of

the order of the rotors: e.g. **II, III, I**;

the position of the rings on the rotors: e.g. **KUB**;

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

It contained the information needed to set up the machine using the **daily key**. The daily key consisted of

the order of the rotors: e.g. **II, III, I**;

the position of the rings on the rotors: e.g. **KUB**;

the plug board connections: e.g. **AU, CR, DK, JZ, LN, PS**;

Operation manual

The operation manual was obtained through French espionage and passed to the Polish Intelligence Service in December 1932.

It contained the information needed to set up the machine using the **daily key**. The daily key consisted of

the order of the rotors: e.g. **II, III, I**;

the position of the rings on the rotors: e.g. **KUB**;

the plug board connections: e.g. **AU, CR, DK, JZ, LN, PS**;

the basic setting, letters visible in the little windows: e.g. **UFW**.

The message key

After setting up the machine with the use of the daily key, the operator was supposed to choose randomly three letters called the **message key**, e.g. **HTS**.

The message key

After setting up the machine with the use of the daily key, the operator was supposed to choose randomly three letters called the **message key**, e.g. **HTS**.

Then he wrote the message key twice, i.e. **HTS HTS**.

The message key

After setting up the machine with the use of the daily key, the operator was supposed to choose randomly three letters called the **message key**, e.g. **HTS**.

Then he wrote the message key twice, i.e. **HTS HTS**.

Then he encrypted these six letters by pressing the corresponding six keys on the keyboard and wrote down the cipher text. He obtained the cipher version of the message key, the indicator, e.g. the message key **HTS HTS** was encrypted as **NEV GWY**.

The message key

After setting up the machine with the use of the daily key, the operator was supposed to choose randomly three letters called the **message key**, e.g. **HTS**.

Then he wrote the message key twice, i.e. **HTS HTS**.

Then he encrypted these six letters by pressing the corresponding six keys on the keyboard and wrote down the cipher text. He obtained the cipher version of the message key, the indicator, e.g. the message key **HTS HTS** was encrypted as **NEV GWY**.

Then he turned the rotors to see the message key letters in the small windows, and continued with encrypting the message text from the position given by the message key.

The message key

After setting up the machine with the use of the daily key, the operator was supposed to choose randomly three letters called the **message key**, e.g. **HTS**.

Then he wrote the message key twice, i.e. **HTS HTS**.

Then he encrypted these six letters by pressing the corresponding six keys on the keyboard and wrote down the cipher text. He obtained the cipher version of the message key, the indicator, e.g. the message key **HTS HTS** was encrypted as **NEV GWY**.

Then he turned the rotors to see the message key letters in the small windows, and continued with encrypting the message text from the position given by the message key.

Thus the message **HELLO** was encrypted as **BPTQS**.

Violation of cryptological maxims

Finally, he passed the indicator and the cipher text to the radio operator. The whole encrypted message HELLO was thus transmitted as

NEV GWY BPTQS

Violation of cryptological maxims

Finally, he passed the indicator and the cipher text to the radio operator. The whole encrypted message HELLO was thus transmitted as

NEV GWY BPTQS

The rules violated two of the cryptological maxims.

Violation of cryptological maxims

Finally, he passed the indicator and the cipher text to the radio operator. The whole encrypted message HELLO was thus transmitted as

NEV GWY BPTQS

The rules violated two of the cryptological maxims.

All message keys during the same day were encrypted with the **same daily key**.

Violation of cryptological maxims

Finally, he passed the indicator and the cipher text to the radio operator. The whole encrypted message HELLO was thus transmitted as

NEV GWY BPTQS

The rules violated two of the cryptological maxims.

All message keys during the same day were encrypted with the **same daily key**.

Each particular message key was encrypted **twice with different keys**.

Violation of cryptological maxims

Finally, he passed the indicator and the cipher text to the radio operator. The whole encrypted message HELLO was thus transmitted as

NEV GWY BPTQS

The rules violated two of the cryptological maxims.

All message keys during the same day were encrypted with the **same daily key**.

Each particular message key was encrypted **twice with different keys**.

The violation of two cryptological maxims was the starting point of a mathematical analysis of the Enigma cipher. Could this open the door to solve the cipher?

The situation in December 1932

Let us summarize the information available to Marian Rejewski at that time:

The situation in December 1932

Let us summarize the information available to Marian Rejewski at that time:

a commercial version of the Enigma machine (without the plugboard and certainly with different wirings inside the rotors and the reflector),

The situation in December 1932

Let us summarize the information available to Marian Rejewski at that time:

a commercial version of the Enigma machine (without the plugboard and certainly with different wirings inside the rotors and the reflector),

the operation manual,

The situation in December 1932

Let us summarize the information available to Marian Rejewski at that time:

a commercial version of the Enigma machine (without the plugboard and certainly with different wirings inside the rotors and the reflector),

the operation manual,

daily keys for September and October 1932. **Important:** the daily keys were from two different quarters of the year,

The situation in December 1932

Let us summarize the information available to Marian Rejewski at that time:

a commercial version of the Enigma machine (without the plugboard and certainly with different wirings inside the rotors and the reflector),

the operation manual,

daily keys for September and October 1932. **Important:** the daily keys were from two different quarters of the year,

and many intercepted encrypted messages not only from these two months but from many other months.

Permutations

The (unknown) wiring inside a rotor (or the reflector) can be described by the mathematical concept of a **permutation**.

Permutations

The (unknown) wiring inside a rotor (or the reflector) can be described by the mathematical concept of a **permutation**.

Definition. A one-to-one mapping on a set X is called a **permutation** on the set X .

Permutations

The (unknown) wiring inside a rotor (or the reflector) can be described by the mathematical concept of a **permutation**.

Definition. A one-to-one mapping on a set X is called a **permutation** on the set X .

The value of a permutation P at a point x will be written as xP . Every permutation P on a set X uniquely defines the **inverse permutation** P^{-1} . This is determined by the property

$$(xP)P^{-1} = x$$

for every $x \in X$.

Product of permutations

Any two permutations P, Q on the same set X can be composed (as mappings) to get the **composition** or **product** PQ of the two permutations. Its value at a given element $x \in X$ is

$$x(PQ) = (xP)Q.$$

Product of permutations

Any two permutations P, Q on the same set X can be composed (as mappings) to get the **composition** or **product** PQ of the two permutations. Its value at a given element $x \in X$ is

$$x(PQ) = (xP)Q.$$

The **identity permutation** I on X is defined by

$$xI = x$$

for every $x \in X$.

Product of permutations

Any two permutations P, Q on the same set X can be composed (as mappings) to get the **composition** or **product** PQ of the two permutations. Its value at a given element $x \in X$ is

$$x(PQ) = (xP)Q.$$

The **identity permutation** I on X is defined by

$$xI = x$$

for every $x \in X$.

Thus $PP^{-1} = I = P^{-1}P$ for every permutation P on X .

Graph of a permutation

We can visualize permutations by drawing their **graphs**.



Graph of a permutation

We can visualize permutations by drawing their **graphs**.

For example, the permutation P on the set $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ defined by

$$aP = b, bP = c, cP = a, dP = e, eP = f, fP = g, gP = d,$$

can be visualized as





Graph of the composition

If we want to find the graph of the product PQ of the permutation p with another permutation Q defined by

$$aQ = d, bQ = a, cQ = g, dQ = f, eQ = e, fQ = b, gQ = c,$$

we first draw the graph of P .




Graph of the composition

If we want to find the graph of the product PQ of the permutation p with another permutation Q defined by

$$aQ = d, bQ = a, cQ = g, dQ = f, eQ = e, fQ = b, gQ = c,$$


we first draw the graph of P .

Then we draw the graph of Q to it.



Graph of the composition - cont.


To get an arrow in the graph of the product PQ from a given element, we first follow the arrow of P and then continue with the arrow of Q .



Graph of the composition - cont.

To get an arrow in the graph of the product PQ from a given element, we first follow the arrow of P and then continue with the arrow of Q .

Here is how it works for the element c .




Graph of the composition - cont.

To get an arrow in the graph of the product PQ from a given element, we first follow the arrow of P and then continue with the arrow of Q .

Here is how it works for the element c .

And for the element b .



Math. model of rotors and reflector

If we take a rotor, we may denote the spring contacts on one side of the rotor by letters of the alphabet a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z . Opposite to each spring contact there is a disc, through which the current flows out of the rotor. We denote it by the same letter as the opposite spring contact. Thus the wires inside the rotor define a one-to-one mapping, or a permutation, on the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$.

Math. model of rotors and reflector

If we take a rotor, we may denote the spring contacts on one side of the rotor by letters of the alphabet a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z . Opposite to each spring contact there is a disc, through which the current flows out of the rotor. We denote it by the same letter as the opposite spring contact. Thus the wires inside the rotor define a one-to-one mapping, or a permutation, on the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$.

Let us denote the permutations that describe the wirings of the left, middle and right rotors by L , M and N .

Math. model of rotors and reflector

If we take a rotor, we may denote the spring contacts on one side of the rotor by letters of the alphabet a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z . Opposite to each spring contact there is a disc, through which the current flows out of the rotor. We denote it by the same letter as the opposite spring contact. Thus the wires inside the rotor define a one-to-one mapping, or a permutation, on the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$.

Let us denote the permutations that describe the wirings of the left, middle and right rotors by L , M and N .

There are also thirteen wires inside the reflector, each connecting two springs. Thus the wiring inside the reflector can be described by another permutation R on the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$. This time the permutation Q is not arbitrary but has to have 13 cycles of length 2.

Static model of the scrambler

The passage of the electrical current through the scrambler now can be described as the composition of permutations

$$NMLRL^{-1}M^{-1}N^{-1}.$$

It should be emphasized that none of the four permutations involved was known to Rejewski.

Static model of the scrambler

The passage of the electrical current through the scrambler now can be described as the composition of permutations

$$NMLRL^{-1}M^{-1}N^{-1}.$$

It should be emphasized that none of the four permutations involved was known to Rejewski.

This model does not take into account that the right rotor moves first when we press a key and only then the current flows through the closed circuit.

Dynamic model of the scrambler

If the right rotor moves first, then the current from the disc a of the entry wheel does not flow to the spring a of the right rotor, but to the spring b of the right rotor. Similarly, from the disc b of the entry wheel it flows to the spring c of the right rotor, etc.

Dynamic model of the scrambler

If the right rotor moves first, then the current from the disc a of the entry wheel does not flow to the spring a of the right rotor, but to the spring b of the right rotor. Similarly, from the disc b of the entry wheel it flows to the spring c of the right rotor, etc.

We denote by P the cyclic permutation



It maps every letter of the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$ to the subsequent one and the last letter z to the first letter a .

Dynamic model of Enigma

The passage of the current through the scrambler can now be described by the permutation

$$PNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}.$$

Only the cyclic permutation P is known.

Dynamic model of Enigma

The passage of the current through the scrambler can now be described by the permutation

$$PNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}.$$

Only the cyclic permutation P is known.

There might have been also a cross-wiring between the plug board and the entry wheel. That there, in fact, was no cross-wiring there was unknown at the end of 1932, so another unknown permutation H must be added to the dynamic model.

Dynamic model cont.

Thus the wiring in the Enigma machine together with the movement of the right rotor can be described by a single permutation

$$HPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}.$$

Dynamic model cont.

Thus the wiring in the Enigma machine together with the movement of the right rotor can be described by a single permutation

$$HPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}.$$

And cables in the plug board determine another permutation S of the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$. The permutation S has some cycles of length 2 and some of length 1 depending on the number of cables used to make the connections in the plug board. It was determined by the plugboard connections in the daily key used to encrypt all message keys during a day.

Complete model

Thus the whole dynamic model of the operation of the Enigma machine can be described by the permutation

$$SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

Complete model

Thus the whole dynamic model of the operation of the Enigma machine can be described by the permutation

$$SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

The cyclic permutation P is known, the unknown permutations L , M , N and H describe the unknown internal structure of the Enigma machine. The permutation S changes day by day and is given by the corresponding daily key.

Permutations of the day

The first six letters of each message transmitted during the same day were encrypted by the same key given by the setup of the machine for that day. So we can denote by A the permutation of the first letters of messages transmitted that day.

Permutations of the day

The first six letters of each message transmitted during the same day were encrypted by the same key given by the setup of the machine for that day. So we can denote by A the permutation of the first letters of messages transmitted that day.

Similarly, we denote by B the permutation of the second letters of messages transmitted the same day, by C the permutation of the third letters, by D , E and F the permutations of the fourth, fifth and sixth letters.

Permutations of the day

The first six letters of each message transmitted during the same day were encrypted by the same key given by the setup of the machine for that day. So we can denote by A the permutation of the first letters of messages transmitted that day.

Similarly, we denote by B the permutation of the second letters of messages transmitted the same day, by C the permutation of the third letters, by D , E and F the permutations of the fourth, fifth and sixth letters.

All the six permutations A , B , C , D , E , F were also unknown. We may call them the **permutations of the day**.

Connection to the dynamic model

We have already found another description of the permutation determined by the setup of the machine for the day. It was

$$SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

Connection to the dynamic model

We have already found another description of the permutation determined by the setup of the machine for the day. It was

$$SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

So we get the equation

$$A = SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

Connection cont.

We can find a similar expression for the second permutation of the day B . We only have to take into account that after pressing the second key the right rotor has already turned twice. So the equation is

$$B = SHP^2NP^{-2}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^2N^{-1}P^{-2}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

Connection cont.

We can find a similar expression for the second permutation of the day B . We only have to take into account that after pressing the second key the right rotor has already turned twice. So the equation is

$$B = SHP^2NP^{-2}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^2N^{-1}P^{-2}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

The remaining four permutations of the day can be expressed as

$$C = SHP^3NP^{-3}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^3N^{-1}P^{-3}H^{-1}S^{-1},$$

$$D = SHP^4NP^{-4}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1},$$

$$E = SHP^5NP^{-5}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1},$$

$$F = SHP^6NP^{-6}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

Conjugated permutations

It should be emphasized that these equations are valid only under the assumption that the only rotor that moved during the encryption of the six letters of the message keys during the given day was the right one. But this happened on average in 20 out of 26 days. Quite often.

Conjugated permutations

It should be emphasized that these equations are valid only under the assumption that the only rotor that moved during the encryption of the six letters of the message keys during the given day was the right one. But this happened on average in 20 out of 26 days. Quite often.

All the permutations in the previous expressions of the permutations of the day were unknown except P . But something important was known!

Conjugated permutations

It should be emphasized that these equations are valid only under the assumption that the only rotor that moved during the encryption of the six letters of the message keys during the given day was the right one. But this happened on average in 20 out of 26 days. Quite often.

All the permutations in the previous expressions of the permutations of the day were unknown except P . But something important was known!

Before we proceed let us state an important definition.

Definition. Two permutations K, L on the same set X are called **conjugated** if there exists another permutation P on the set X such that

$$K = PLP^{-1}.$$

The theorem that won WWII

And one more definition.

Definition. The list of lengths of all cycles in a permutation K is called the **cyclic structure** of the permutation K .

The theorem that won WWII

And one more definition.

Definition. The list of lengths of all cycles in a permutation K is called the **cyclic structure** of the permutation K .

Theorem. Two permutations K, L on the same set X are conjugated if and only if they have the same cyclic structure.

The theorem that won WWII

And one more definition.

Definition. The list of lengths of all cycles in a permutation K is called the **cyclic structure** of the permutation K .

Theorem. Two permutations K, L on the same set X are conjugated if and only if they have the same cyclic structure.

We can get an idea why the theorem is true by drawing the graphs of permutations. So assume that permutations K, L are conjugated and let P be a permutation such that


$$K = PLP^{-1}.$$

Proof

Now choose an arbitrary element $x \in X$ and look at the following part of the graphs of the three permutations. The arrows of the permutation K are blue, the arrows of L are green, and the arrows of P are red.

Proof

Now choose an arbitrary element $x \in X$ and look at the following part of the graphs of the three permutations. The arrows of the permutation K are blue, the arrows of L are green, and the arrows of P are red.



Proof cont.

Thus the permutation P maps each cycle of the permutation K to a cycle of the permutation L with the same length. Conjugated permutations must have the same cyclic structures.

Proof cont.

Thus the permutation P maps each cycle of the permutation K to a cycle of the permutation L with the same length. Conjugated permutations must have the same cyclic structures.

Now assume conversely that two permutations K, L have the same cyclic structure. Choose a cycle in the permutation K and a cycle in the permutation L of the same length. Further, choose an element x in the chosen cycle of K and an element y in the chosen cycle of the permutation L . Try to set $xP = y$.

Proof cont.

Thus the permutation P maps each cycle of the permutation K to a cycle of the permutation L with the same length. Conjugated permutations must have the same cyclic structures.

Now assume conversely that two permutations K, L have the same cyclic structure. Choose a cycle in the permutation K and a cycle in the permutation L of the same length. Further, choose an element x in the chosen cycle of K and an element y in the chosen cycle of the permutation L . Try to set $xP = y$.

We search for a permutation P satisfying the equation


$$K = PLP^{-1}.$$

Proof cont.

Look at the following part of the graphs of all three permutations.

Proof cont.

Look at the following part of the graphs of all three permutations.



End of the proof

Thus the choice of x and y uniquely determines the values of the permutation P on the chosen cycle of the permutation K .

End of the proof

Thus the choice of x and y uniquely determines the values of the permutation P on the chosen cycle of the permutation K .

Observe also that this method enables us to find all possible permutations P that satisfy the equation

$$K = PLP^{-1}$$

if the given permutations K, L have the same cyclic structure.

Characteristics of the day

The permutation R describing the wiring of the reflector has all cycles of length 2. This is the reason why $R^2 = I$, or $R^{-1} = R$.

Characteristics of the day

The permutation R describing the wiring of the reflector has all cycles of length 2. This is the reason why $R^2 = I$, or $R^{-1} = R$.

All permutations A, B, C, D, E, F of the day are conjugated to R . So they all have only cycles of length 2. Thus we get

$$A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = D^2 = E^2 = F^2 = I,$$

or stated otherwise, each of the six permutations is equal to its inverse.

Characteristics of the day

The permutation R describing the wiring of the reflector has all cycles of length 2. This is the reason why $R^2 = I$, or $R^{-1} = R$.

All permutations A, B, C, D, E, F of the day are conjugated to R . So they all have only cycles of length 2. Thus we get

$$A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = D^2 = E^2 = F^2 = I,$$

or stated otherwise, each of the six permutations is equal to its inverse.

We do not know the permutations A, B, C, D, E, F yet. But we do know the products AD, BE, CF if there are enough intercepted messages for the day. Rejewski called them the **characteristics of the day**.

Finding characteristics

You will recall that the intercepted indicators were obtained by enciphering message keys twice. Stated otherwise, by enciphering messages of the form

$$xyzxyz,$$

where x , y , z can be arbitrary letters.

Finding characteristics

You will recall that the intercepted indicators were obtained by enciphering message keys twice. Stated otherwise, by enciphering messages of the form

$$xyzxyz,$$

where x , y , z can be arbitrary letters.

If $xA = u$ and $xD = v$, then we get

$$uAD = v,$$

since $A^{-1} = A$.

Finding characteristics

You will recall that the intercepted indicators were obtained by enciphering message keys twice. Stated otherwise, by enciphering messages of the form

$$xyzxyz,$$

where x , y , z can be arbitrary letters.

If $xA = u$ and $xD = v$, then we get

$$uAD = v,$$

since $A^{-1} = A$.

But various pairs of the letters $u, v = uAD$ are known! They are the first and fourth letters of the intercepted messages.

A busy manoeuver day

So if there are enough intercepted messages from a given day, we do know the characteristics AD , BE and CF of the day.

A busy manoeuver day

So if there are enough intercepted messages from a given day, we do know the characteristics AD , BE and CF of the day.

As an example, we find the characteristics of a busy manoeuver day, when the following indicators were intercepted.

A busy manoeuver day

So if there are enough intercepted messages from a given day, we do know the characteristics AD , BE and CF of the day.

As an example, we find the characteristics of a busy manoeuver day, when the following indicators were intercepted.

The following table shows 64 intercepted characteristics from this manoeuver day. There are enough of them to find all three permutations AD , BE and CF .

A busy manoeuver day cont.

- | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| 1. | AUQ AMN | 17. | KHB XJV | 33. | RJL WPX | 49. | VII PZK |
| 2. | BNH CHL | 18. | KHB XJV | 34. | RFC WQQ | 50. | VII PZK |
| 3. | BCT CGJ | 19. | LDR HDE | 35. | SYX SCW | 51. | VQZ PVR |
| 4. | CIK BZT | 20. | LDR HDE | 36. | SYX SCW | 52. | VQZ PVR |
| 5. | DDB VDV | 21. | MAW UXP | 37. | SYX SCW | 53. | WTM RAO |
| 6. | EJP IPS | 22. | MAW UXP | 38. | SYX SCW | 54. | WTM RAO |
| 7. | GPB ZSV | 23. | NXD QTU | 39. | SYX SCW | 55. | WTM RAO |
| 8. | GPB ZSV | 24. | NXD QTU | 40. | SJM SPO | 56. | WKI RKK |
| 9. | HNO THD | 25. | NLU QFZ | 41. | SJM SPO | 57. | XRS GNM |
| 10. | HNO THD | 26. | OBU DLZ | 42. | SJM SPO | 58. | XRS GNM |
| 11. | HXV TTI | 27. | PVJ FEG | 43. | SUG SMF | 59. | XOI GUK |
| 12. | IKG JKF | 28. | QGA LYB | 44. | SUG SMF | 60. | XYW GCP |
| 13. | IKG JKF | 29. | QGA LYB | 45. | TMN EBY | 61. | YPC OSQ |
| 14. | IND JHU | 30. | RJL WPX | 46. | TMN EBY | 62. | ZZY YRA |
| 15. | JWF MIC | 31. | RJL WPX | 47. | TAA EXB | 63. | ZEF YOC |
| 16. | JWF MIC | 32. | RJL WPX | 48. | USE NWH | 64. | ZSJ YWG |

Characteristics of the manoeuvre day

From the first indicator we find for example that

$$aAD = a, \quad uBE = m, \quad qCF = n.$$

Characteristics of the manoeuvre day

From the first indicator we find for example that

$$aAD = a, \quad uBE = m, \quad qCF = n.$$

Similarly, the second indicator gives

$$bAD = c, \quad nBE = h, \quad hCF = 1.$$

Characteristics of the manoeuver day

From the first indicator we find for example that

$$aAD = a, \quad uBE = m, \quad qCF = n.$$

Similarly, the second indicator gives

$$bAD = c, \quad nBE = h, \quad hCF = l.$$

The following table lists the cycles of all three characteristics of the manoeuver day.

$$\begin{aligned} AD &= (a), (s), (bc), (rw), (dvpfkxgzyo), (eijmunqlht), \\ BE &= (axt), (blfqveoum), (cgy), (d), (hjpswizrn), (k), \\ CF &= (abviktjgfcqny), (duzrehlxwpsmo). \end{aligned}$$

More equations

Putting together the earlier found equations for the permutations A, B, C, D, E, F of the day we get the following system of equations:

$$AD = SHPNP^{-1}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}PN^{-1}P^3NP^{-4}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1},$$

$$BE = SHP^2NP^{-2}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^2N^{-1}P^3NP^{-5}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1},$$

$$CF = SHP^3NP^{-3}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^3N^{-1}P^3NP^{-6}MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}P^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

How to simplify the system?

Now, not only the cyclic permutation was known, but also the three characteristics of the day on the left hand sides of the equations. But the system is certainly unsolvable for the unknown wirings of the three rotors and the reflector.

How to simplify the system?

Now, not only the cyclic permutation was known, but also the three characteristics of the day on the left hand sides of the equations. But the system is certainly unsolvable for the unknown wirings of the three rotors and the reflector.

The system can be formally simplified by substituting $Q = MLRL^{-1}M^{-1}$ into the three equations. The permutation Q is the wiring of a virtual reflector consisting of the reflector and the left and middle rotors that were fixed during the day. The substitution only leads to a slightly simplified system of equations on the next slide.

A slightly simplified system

$$\begin{aligned}AD &= SHPNP^{-1}QPN^{-1}P^3NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\BE &= SHP^2NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}P^3NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\CF &= SHP^3NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}P^3NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

A slightly simplified system

$$\begin{aligned}AD &= SHPNP^{-1}QPN^{-1}P^3NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\BE &= SHP^2NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}P^3NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\CF &= SHP^3NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}P^3NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This system is still certainly unsolvable for the unknown wirings N, Q even if all the other permutations are known. It has to be further simplified.

A slightly simplified system

$$\begin{aligned}AD &= SHPNP^{-1}QPN^{-1}P^3NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\BE &= SHP^2NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}P^3NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1}, \\CF &= SHP^3NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}P^3NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This system is still certainly unsolvable for the unknown wirings N, Q even if all the other permutations are known. It has to be further simplified.

Now comes the first of Marian Rejewski's ingenious ideas.

Blunders of German operators

When studying the table of intercepted messages he observed that the message keys were certainly not chosen randomly as the manual stated.

Blunders of German operators

When studying the table of intercepted messages he observed that the message keys were certainly not chosen randomly as the manual stated.

But if the operators did not choose the message keys randomly, what were the probable non random choices?

Blunders of German operators

When studying the table of intercepted messages he observed that the message keys were certainly not chosen randomly as the manual stated.

But if the operators did not choose the message keys randomly, what were the probable non random choices?

Marian Rejewski first proved the following simple theorem that helped him to understand the relationship between the two permutations A , D of a day and their composition, the characteristic AD of the same day. You will remember that each of the two permutations A and D contained only cycles of length 2.

One more theorem on permutations

Theorem. A permutation K on a set Z can be expressed as the composition of two permutations X, Y with cycles of length two if and only if it contains an even number of cycles of each length.

One more theorem on permutations

Theorem. A permutation K on a set Z can be expressed as the composition of two permutations X, Y with cycles of length two if and only if it contains an even number of cycles of each length.


In order to understand why the theorem holds we first assume that $K = XY$ where both permutations X and Y have all cycles of length two.

Proof

We take a cycle (a_1, a_2) of the permutation X and investigate what are the lengths of the cycles of the product XY containing the elements a_1 and a_2 . A picture will help.


Proof

We take a cycle (a_1, a_2) of the permutation X and investigate what are the lengths of the cycles of the product XY containing the elements a_1 and a_2 . A picture will help. We first draw the **graph of X**




Proof

We take a cycle (a_1, a_2) of the permutation X and investigate what are the lengths of the cycles of the product XY containing the elements a_1 and a_2 . A picture will help. We first draw the **graph of X** and then the **graph of Y** .



Proof

We take a cycle (a_1, a_2) of the permutation X and investigate what are the lengths of the cycles of the product XY containing the elements a_1 and a_2 . A picture will help. We first draw the **graph of X** and then the **graph of Y** . Finally, we draw the **graph of XY** .



Proof cont.

We see that the elements a_1 and a_2 belong to two different cycles of the same length. They are $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$.

Proof cont.

We see that the elements a_1 and a_2 belong to two different cycles of the same length. They are $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$.

Thus the composition XY has always even number of cycles of any given length.

Proof cont.

We see that the elements a_1 and a_2 belong to two different cycles of the same length. They are $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$.

Thus the composition XY has always even number of cycles of any given length.

To prove the converse we assume that a permutation K has even number of cycles of any given length. We will show how to find all possible expressions of K as a composition XY of two permutations which have all cycles of length 2.

Proof cont.

We see that the elements a_1 and a_2 belong to two different cycles of the same length. They are $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$.

Thus the composition XY has always even number of cycles of any given length.

To prove the converse we assume that a permutation K has even number of cycles of any given length. We will show how to find all possible expressions of K as a composition XY of two permutations which have all cycles of length 2.

We choose two cycles of the same length, say k , and an arbitrary element a_1 in one of the cycles and an element a_2 in the other cycle. We may assume that $(a_1 a_2)$ is one of the cycles in X .


Proof cont.

We denote the two cycles $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$, and draw them one under another and in the opposite direction.

.


Proof cont.

We denote the two cycles $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$, and draw them one under another and in the opposite direction.




Proof cont.

We denote the two cycles $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$, and draw them one under another and in the opposite direction. Then we add the chosen cycle $(a_1 a_2)$ of permutation X .




Proof cont.

We denote the two cycles $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$, and draw them one under another and in the opposite direction. Then we add the chosen cycle $(a_1 a_2)$ of permutation X . Since we want to have $K = XY$, the permutation Y must map the element a_2 to a_3 . From the same reason, a_3 must be mapped to a_4 in X .



Proof cont.

We denote the two cycles $(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k-3} a_{2k-1})$ and $(a_2 a_{2k} a_{2k-2} \cdots a_4)$, and draw them one under another and in the opposite direction. Then we add the chosen cycle $(a_1 a_2)$ of permutation X . Since we want to have $K = XY$, the permutation Y must map the element a_2 to a_3 . From the same reason, a_3 must be mapped to a_4 in X . And so on.



End of the proof

This procedure can be used for any pair of cycles of the same length. And since the permutation K has an even number of cycles of any given length, we may define in this way the permutations X and Y on all elements of the set Z .

End of the proof

This procedure can be used for any pair of cycles of the same length. And since the permutation K has an even number of cycles of any given length, we may define in this way the permutations X and Y on all elements of the set Z .

Note also that this procedure gives us a method how to find all decompositions of K as the product $K = XY$ of permutations with all cycles of length 2.

The number of possibilities

For example, the three characteristics of the busy manoeuver day

$AD = (a), (s), (bc), (rw), (dvpfkxgzyo), (eijmunqlht),$

$BE = (axt), (blfqveoum), (cgy), (d), (hjpswizrn), (k),$

$CF = (abviktjgfcqny), (duzrehlxwpsmo)$

give 13 possibilities for the permutations C and F , 3×9 possibilities for the permutations B and E and 2×10 possibilities for the permutations A and D . All together $20 \times 27 \times 13 = 7020$ possibilities for the permutations of the day.

Reducing the number of possibilities

To reduce the number of possibilities Marian Rejewski guessed that the operators had probably chosen message keys consisting of the same three letters or perhaps three neighbouring letters on the keyboard.

Reducing the number of possibilities

To reduce the number of possibilities Marian Rejewski guessed that the operators had probably chosen message keys consisting of the same three letters or perhaps three neighbouring letters on the keyboard.

He tried various guesses of this sort for the message key that came encrypted as `SYX SCW`. This indicator was most suspicious since it appeared five times during the day.

Reducing the number of possibilities

To reduce the number of possibilities Marian Rejewski guessed that the operators had probably chosen message keys consisting of the same three letters or perhaps three neighbouring letters on the keyboard.

He tried various guesses of this sort for the message key that came encrypted as `SYX SCW`. This indicator was most suspicious since it appeared five times during the day.

When he tried the possibility that the suspicious pattern `SYX SCW` was in fact the encryption of the message key `AAA AAA` all of a sudden he was able to reconstruct the permutations A, B, C, D, E, F that gave the plain indicators of the right form `xyz xyz`.

A miracle

This assumption means that $aA = s$, $aB = y$ and $aC = x$.

A miracle

This assumption means that $aA = s$, $aB = y$ and $aC = x$.

Since the characteristic CF has only two cycles of length 13, the assumption determines uniquely the permutations C and F .

A miracle

This assumption means that $aA = s$, $aB = y$ and $aC = x$.

Since the characteristic CF has only two cycles of length 13, the assumption determines uniquely the permutations C and F .

Since the elements a and y belong to different cycles of the same length 3 in the characteristic BE , this guess also determines the values of B and E on the six elements of the two cycles. The letters a and s form cycles of length 1 in AD , thus the cycle (as) belongs to both A and D .

A miracle

This assumption means that $aA = s$, $aB = y$ and $aC = x$.

Since the characteristic CF has only two cycles of length 13, the assumption determines uniquely the permutations C and F .

Since the elements a and y belong to different cycles of the same length 3 in the characteristic BE , this guess also determines the values of B and E on the six elements of the two cycles. The letters a and s form cycles of length 1 in AD , thus the cycle (as) belongs to both A and D .

With another two guesses of this form Marian Rejewski was eventually able to reconstruct all message keys used during this day. They are listed in the following table.

The message keys

AUQ	AMN:	sss	IKG	JKF:	ddd	QGA	LYB:	xxx	VQZ	PVR:	ert
BNH	CHL:	rfv	IND	JHU:	dfg	RJL	WPX:	bbb	WTM	RAO:	ccc
BCT	CGJ:	rtz	JWF	MIC:	ooo	RFC	WQQ:	bnm	WKI	RKK:	cde
CIK	BZT:	wer	KHB	XJV:	lll	SYX	SCW:	aaa	XRS	GNM:	qqq
DDB	VDV:	ikl	LDR	HDE:	kkk	SJM	SPO:	abc	XOI	GUK:	qwe
EJP	IPS:	vbn	MAW	UXP:	yyy	SUG	SMF:	asd	XYW	GCP:	qay
FBR	KLE:	hjk	NXD	QTU:	ggg	TMN	EBY:	ppp	YPC	OSQ:	mmm
GPB	ZSV:	nml	NLU	QFZ:	ghj	TAA	EXB:	pyx	ZZY	YRA:	uvw
HNO	THD:	fff	OBU	DLZ:	jjj	USE	NWH:	zui	ZEF	YOC:	uio
HXV	TTI:	fgh	PVJ	FEG:	tzu	VII	PZK:	eee	ZSJ	YWG:	uuu

The message keys

AUQ AMN:	sss	IKG JKF:	ddd	QGA LYB:	xxx	VQZ PVR:	ert
BNH CHL:	rfv	IND JHU:	dfg	RJL WPX:	bbb	WTM RAO:	ccc
BCT CGJ:	rtz	JWF MIC:	ooo	RFC WQQ:	bnm	WKI RKK:	cde
CIK BZT:	wer	KHB XJV:	lll	SYX SCW:	aaa	XRS GNM:	qqq
DDB VDV:	ikl	LDR HDE:	kkk	SJM SPO:	abc	XOI GUK:	qwe
EJP IPS:	vbn	MAW UXP:	yyy	SUG SMF:	asd	XYW GCP:	qay
FBR KLE:	hjk	NXD QTU:	ggg	TMN EBY:	ppp	YPC OSQ:	mmm
GPB ZSV:	nml	NLU QFZ:	ghj	TAA EXB:	pyx	ZZY YRA:	uvw
HNO THD:	fff	OBU DLZ:	jjj	USE NWH:	zui	ZEF YOC:	uio
HXV TTI:	fgh	PVJ FEG:	tzu	VII PZK:	eee	ZSJ YWG:	uuu

With the exception of two message keys `abc` and `uvw` all the remaining ones are either triples of the same letters or triples of letters on neighbouring keys on the Enigma keyboard. And these two message keys are also far from being random.

A simplified system of equations

The deep psychological insight into the habits of German operators enabled him to simplify the original system of equations to the following one. Now the permutations A, B, C, D, E, F were known.

A simplified system of equations

The deep psychological insight into the habits of German operators enabled him to simplify the original system of equations to the following one. Now the permutations A, B, C, D, E, F were known.

$$A = SHP^1NP^{-1}QP^1N^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1}S^{-1}$$

$$B = SHP^2NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}P^{-2}H^{-1}S^{-1}$$

$$C = SHP^3NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}P^{-3}H^{-1}S^{-1}$$

$$D = SHP^4NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1}S^{-1}$$

$$E = SHP^5NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1}S^{-1}$$

$$F = SHP^6NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}S^{-1}.$$

The daily keys were known!

But the busy manoeuver day was in September 1932 and Marian Rejewski had the daily keys from this month. So he in fact knew also the permutation S . He could move it to the right hand sides of the equations among the already known permutations. It gave him the following system.

The daily keys were known!

But the busy manoeuver day was in September 1932 and Marian Rejewski had the daily keys from this month. So he in fact knew also the permutation S . He could move it to the right hand sides of the equations among the already known permutations. It gave him the following system.

$$\begin{aligned}S^{-1}AS &= HP^1NP^{-1}QP^1N^{-1}P^{-1}H^{-1} \\S^{-1}BS &= HP^2NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}P^{-2}H^{-1} \\S^{-1}CS &= HP^3NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}P^{-3}H^{-1} \\S^{-1}DS &= HP^4NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}P^{-4}H^{-1} \\S^{-1}ES &= HP^5NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}P^{-5}H^{-1} \\S^{-1}FS &= HP^6NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}P^{-6}H^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Germans like order

Now only the permutations H , N and Q were unknown. Rejewski first tried the same wiring between the plug board and the entry wheel that was used in the commercial Enigma. But it went nowhere.

Germans like order

Now only the permutations H , N and Q were unknown. Rejewski first tried the same wiring between the plug board and the entry wheel that was used in the commercial Enigma. But it went nowhere.

This unsuccessful attempt led him to the second ingenious insight into the psychology, this time of the constructors of the Enigma machine. Rejewski observed that the wiring between the plug board and the entry wheel in the commercial Enigma machine was very regular. The plugs were connected to the entry wheel in the order of the keys on the keyboard. So he tried another regular wiring, this time in the order of the alphabet. This means that $H = I$, the identity permutation. So the permutation H could be eliminated from the equations.

Number of unknowns is getting smaller

Now only two permutations N and Q remained unknown. Rejewski rewrote the system of six equations in the following form.

Number of unknowns is getting smaller

Now only two permutations N and Q remained unknown. Rejewski rewrote the system of six equations in the following form.

$$\begin{aligned}T &= P^{-1}S^{-1}ASP^1 = NP^{-1}QP^1N^{-1}, \\U &= P^{-2}S^{-1}BSP^2 = NP^{-2}QP^2N^{-1}, \\W &= P^{-3}S^{-1}CSP^3 = NP^{-3}QP^3N^{-1}, \\X &= P^{-4}S^{-1}DSP^4 = NP^{-4}QP^4N^{-1}, \\Y &= P^{-5}S^{-1}ESP^5 = NP^{-5}QP^5N^{-1}, \\Z &= P^{-6}S^{-1}DSP^6 = NP^{-6}QP^6N^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Further calculations

By multiplying the pairs of subsequent equations he obtained the following system of five equations in the two unknowns N and Q .

Further calculations

By multiplying the pairs of subsequent equations he obtained the following system of five equations in the two unknowns N and Q .

$$\begin{aligned}TU &= NP^{-1}(QP^{-1}QP)PN^{-1}, \\ UW &= NP^{-2}(QP^{-1}QP)P^2N^{-1}, \\ WX &= NP^{-3}(QP^{-1}QP)P^3N^{-1}, \\ XY &= NP^{-4}(QP^{-1}QP)P^4N^{-1}, \\ YZ &= NP^{-5}(QP^{-1}QP)P^5N^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Only one unknown remained

From this system he eliminated the common expression $QP^{-1}QP$ and obtained the following system of four equations in one unknown N , or still better, in the unknown $V = NP^{-1}N^{-1}$.

Only one unknown remained

From this system he eliminated the common expression $QP^{-1}QP$ and obtained the following system of four equations in one unknown N , or still better, in the unknown $V = NP^{-1}N^{-1}$.

$$UW = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(TU)NPN^{-1} = V(TU)V^{-1},$$

$$WX = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(UW)NPN^{-1} = V(UW)V^{-1},$$

$$XY = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(WX)NPN^{-1} = V(WX)V^{-1},$$

$$YZ = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(XY)NPN^{-1} = V(XY)V^{-1}.$$

Only one unknown remained

From this system he eliminated the common expression $QP^{-1}QP$ and obtained the following system of four equations in one unknown N , or still better, in the unknown $V = NP^{-1}N^{-1}$.

$$UW = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(TU)NPN^{-1} = V(TU)V^{-1},$$

$$WX = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(UW)NPN^{-1} = V(UW)V^{-1},$$

$$XY = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(WX)NPN^{-1} = V(WX)V^{-1},$$

$$YZ = NP^{-1}N^{-1}(XY)NPN^{-1} = V(XY)V^{-1}.$$

Moreover, all four equations have the familiar form

$$J = VKV^{-1}.$$

The solution

From the proof of the theorem that won the WWII we already know how to find all solutions V of each of the four equations. There must be a common solution V of the four equations that is a cyclic permutation, since it is conjugated to the the cyclic permutation P^{-1} . Hence he could also find the permutation N describing the wiring of the right rotor.

The solution

From the proof of the theorem that won the WWII we already know how to find all solutions V of each of the four equations. There must be a common solution V of the four equations that is a cyclic permutation, since it is conjugated to the the cyclic permutation P^{-1} . Hence he could also find the permutation N describing the wiring of the right rotor.

The wiring of one of the rotors thus became known. In those times the German army changed the order of rotors in daily keys every quarter of the year. Since September and October are in different quarters of the year, the same method led to the discovery of the wiring of another rotor. This was the rotor used as the right (fast) rotor in the other quarter of the year 1932 from which the daily keys were known.

A replica of Enigma was built

Then it was easy to calculate the wiring of the remaining rotor and also of the reflector. At the end of January 1933 a replica of the military Enigma machine was built.

A replica of Enigma was built

Then it was easy to calculate the wiring of the remaining rotor and also of the reflector. At the end of January 1933 a replica of the military Enigma machine was built.

Hitler came to power in Germany in January 1933. From almost the same time the Polish Intelligence Service was able to read most of the top secret German army communications.

A replica of Enigma was built

Then it was easy to calculate the wiring of the remaining rotor and also of the reflector. At the end of January 1933 a replica of the military Enigma machine was built.

Hitler came to power in Germany in January 1933. From almost the same time the Polish Intelligence Service was able to read most of the top secret German army communications.

In July 1939, when it became clear that a new war in Europe was inevitable, the Polish Intelligence Service organized a meeting near Warsaw. There it passed the replicas and all other information to its French and British counterparts. This is how the first replica of the military Enigma machine got to Bletchley Park, the center of the British cryptoanalysis of that time.

References

Rejewski, Marian, *An application of the theory of permutations in breaking the Enigma cipher*, *Applicationes Mathematicae* **16**, No. 4, Warsaw 1980,
<http://mad.home.cern.ch/frode/crypto/rew80.pdf>

Rejewski, Marian, *How Polish mathematicians broke the Enigma cipher*, *IEEE Annals of the history of computing*, July 1981, 213-234,

Kozaczuk, Wladyslaw, *Enigma*, London: Arms and Armour, 1984,

Bauer, Friedrich L., *Decrypted Secrets, Methods and Maxims of Cryptology*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 2000.

Enigma simulators

Enigma simulators can be found e.g. at

<http://www.xat.nl/enigma/>

<http://www.ugrad.cs.jhu.edu/~russell/classes/enigma/>

<http://frode.home.cern.ch/frode/crypto/simula/m3/>